



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,685	12/06/2004	Adi Shfaram	26552U	6629
20529 7590 02/27/2009 THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
SWEET, THOMAS				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3774				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/27/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/516,685

Applicant(s)

SHFARAM ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas J. Sweet

Art Unit

3774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Sweet. (3) _____.

(2) Suzanne Hopkins. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vijil-Rosales (4372293), Bellity (FR 2746298) and Dessart (FR 22682284).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner suggested including specifics of the adjustment mechanism would further procession. The Examiner doesn't believe the sling being of plural connected parts defines over Vijil-Rosales because the main embodiment uses one or more meshes connected between the bottom of the breast and the bone rather than the sling embodiment of the 12/31/2008 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas J Sweet/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774